

ALTERATION OF FLOWERING TIME IN PLANTS

5

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims priority from provisional patent application Ser. No. 60/121,572 filed February 25, 1999 and provisional patent application Ser. No. 60/123,455 filed March 9, 1999.

10

STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT

To be determined.

15

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to the control of the time of flowering in plants by genetic engineering. Specifically, this invention relates to the control of the timing of flowering by manipulation of the activity of the *FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC)* family of genes.

20

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The transition of growing plantlets from vegetative growth to flowering is the major developmental switch in the plant life cycle. The timing of flower initiation is critical for the reproductive success of wild plants, and most plant species have evolved systems to precisely regulate flowering time. These systems monitor both environmental cues and the developmental state of the plant to control flowering.

Two commonly monitored environmental cues are photoperiod and temperature. In the photoperiod-responsive plants so examined, daylength is perceived in leaves and flowering signals appear to be translocated from leaves to meristems (*Zeevaart, Light and the Flowering Process*, Process, eds., D. Vince-Prue, B. Thomas and K. E. Cockshull, 137-142, Academic Press, Orlando, 1984.). Exposure to cold temperatures promotes flowering by a process known as vernalization. Vernalization affects

meristems directly, perhaps by causing them to become competent to perceive flowering signals (Lang, *Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology*, ed., W. Ruhland, 15 (Part 1), 1371-1536, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1965). Other environmental cues that can affect flowering include light quality and nutritional status.

5 The developmental state of the plant can also influence flowering time. Most species go through a juvenile phase during which flowering is suppressed, and eventually undergo a transition to an adult phase in which the plant is competent to flower (Poethig, *Science*, 250, 923-930, 1990). This "phase change" permits the plant to reach a proper size for productive flowering.

10 In the flowering literature, the developmental flowering pathways are often referred to as autonomous to indicate that they do not involve the sensing of environmental variables. However, it is unlikely that autonomous and environmental pathways are entirely distinct. For example, day-neutral species of tobacco flower after producing a specific number of nodes and thus could be classified as flowering entirely 15 through an autonomous pathway, but grafting studies indicate that day-neutral and photoperiod-responsive tobacco species respond to similar translocatable flowering signals (Lang et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA*, 74, 2412-2416, 1977; McDaniel et al., *Plant J.*, 9, 55-61, 1996). Thus aspects of the underlying biochemistry of these pathways appear to be conserved.

20 Genetic analyses in several species has identified genes that affect the timing of flowering. The most extensive genetic analysis of flowering-time genes has been performed in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. In Arabidopsis, flowering-time genes have been identified by two approaches. One approach has been to induce mutations that affect flowering time in early-flowering varieties. Such mutations can cause either late-flowering or even earlier flowering. Late-flowering mutations identify genes whose wild-type role is to promote flowering and early-flowering mutations identify inhibitory ones. Studies in Arabidopsis have identified over 20 loci for which mutations specifically affect flowering time and several other loci that affect flowering time as well as other aspects of development (e.g., *det2*, *cop1*, *gal* and *phyB*) (Koornneef et al., 25 *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol., Plant Mol. Biol.*, 49, 345-370, 1998; Weigel, *Ann. Rev. Genetics*, 29, 19-39, 1995).

- Another approach to identify flowering-time genes is to determine the genetic basis of naturally occurring variation in flowering time. Although the varieties of *Arabidopsis* most commonly used in the laboratory are early-flowering, most varieties are late-flowering. Late-flowering varieties differ from early-flowering ones in that the
- 5 late-flowering varieties contain dominant alleles at two loci, *FRIGIDA* (*FRI*) and *FLOWERING LOCUS C* (*FLC*) that suppress flowering (Sanda et al., *Plant Physiol.*, 111, 641-645, 1996; Lee et al., *Plant Journal*, 6, 903-909, 1994; Clarke et al., *Mol. Gen. Genet.*, 242, 81-89, 1994; Koornneef et al., *Plant Journal*, 6, 911-919, 1994).
- Physiological analyses of flowering-time mutants and naturally occurring variation in flowering time indicate that flowering is controlled by multiple pathways in *Arabidopsis* (Koornneef et al., *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol., Plant Mol. Biol.*, 49, 345-370, 1998). One group of late-flowering mutants (*fca*, *fpa*, *fve*, *fy*, *ld*) and plants containing the late-flowering *FLC* and *FRI* alleles are delayed in flowering in inductive (long-day) conditions and are even more severely delayed in short days. Vernalization of these
- 10 late-flowering lines can suppress the late-flowering phenotype. Another group of late-flowering mutants (*co*, *fd*, *fe*, *fha*, *ft*, *fwa*, *gi*) exhibit a slight or no difference in flowering time when grown in short days compared to long days. Furthermore, this group shows little or no response to vernalization. Double mutants within a group do not flower significantly later than either single-mutant parent, whereas double mutants
- 15 containing a mutation in each group flower later than the single-mutant parents (Koornneef et al., *Genetics*, 148, 885-92, 1998). Thus, there appears to be parallel flowering pathways that mediate the flowering response to environmental and developmental cues. A photoperiod pathway promotes flowering in long days. A pathway referred to in the literature as autonomous (because the photoperiod response is
- 20 not affected by mutations in this pathway) appears to control the age, or more specifically the developmental stage, at which plants are competent to flower. Recent support of the developmental role of this pathway is the demonstration that autonomous pathway mutants exhibit changes such as alterations of trichome patterns that indicate such mutant plants are delayed in the juvenile to adult transition (Telfer et al.,
- 25 *Development*, 124, 645-654, 1997).

Blocks to the autonomous pathway due to mutant *fca*, *fpa*, *fve*, *fy*, and *ld* alleles

or to the presence of dominant late-flowering FLC and FRI alleles can be bypassed by vernalization (Koornneef et al., Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol., Plant Mol. Biol., 49, 345-370, 1998). Thus FLC and FRI can be regarded as genes that create a requirement for vernalization. Other species, particularly Brassicas, appear to have the same "circuitry"

5 as Arabidopsis. This similarity has been most thoroughly analyzed for the relationship between dominant suppressors of flowering and vernalization in Brassicas. The major difference between annual and biennial cultivars of oilseed *Brassica napus* and *B. rapa* is conferred by genes controlling vernalization-responsive flowering time (Osborn et al., Genetics Society of America, 146, 1123-1129, 1997). By comparing quantitative trait

10 loci (QTLs) in segregating populations of annual X biennial varieties of *B. rapa* and *B. napus*, it was shown that the 2 major QTLs that confer vernalization-responsive late flowering in *B. napus* and *B. rapa* are likely to be the same (Osborn et al., Genetics Society of America, 146, 1123-1129, 1997). In *B. rapa* the two flowering-time QTLs were separated in recombinant inbred populations and the QTL with the greatest effect

15 on flowering time was VFR2 (vernalization-responsive flowering time in *rapa* 2). Furthermore, VFR2 appears to correspond to FLC from Arabidopsis: VFR2 was mapped at high resolution using hybridization probes that permit a comparison of Arabidopsis and Brassicas after introgression of the late allele into the early-flowering annual variety, and only a probe corresponding to FLC detected no recombination

20 events with VFR2 (<0.44cm) indicating that VFR2 is an FLC homolog.

The timing of flowering is of great importance in agriculture and horticulture. In horticultural crops the product is often the flowers. In food, feed crops, or fiber crops, such as the cereals rice, wheat, maize, barley, and oats, and dicots such as soybeans, canola, and cotton, sunflower, tomato, broccoli, and other members of the legume

25 family, the product is often flowers or the result of flowering -- fruits, seeds, or seedpods. Understanding the molecular basics of flowering-time control will lead to strategies to optimize flower, fruit, and seed production by genetic manipulations that modify the timing of flowering. For example, in certain crops accelerating the onset of flowering would permit that crop to be grown in a region where the growing season is

30 otherwise too short, or permit multiple crops in a region where only one crop is currently possible.

There are also crops in which the non-flowering parts of the plant are the useful part. In such crops preventing or substantially delaying flowering will increase the yield of these useful parts. Examples of plants in which delaying or preventing flowering would be desirable include forage crops such as alfalfa and clover, vegetables such as
5 cabbage and related Brassicas, spinach, and lettuce. In crops in which underground parts are used, such as sugar beet or potato, delaying or preventing flowering should increase yield. Also, in sugar beet, prevention of flowering will permit more energy to be devoted to sugar production. Likewise the yield of wood and biomass crops will be increased by delaying flowering. Thus, there is a need and significant advantage to
10 understanding the molecular basics of flowering-time control.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention encompasses a gene family for the *FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC)* genes that are one of the significant controlling factors in the repression of
15 flowering. The invention includes the DNA sequences for these genes as well as the expressed polypeptides and proteins from these genes.

The present invention is also directed at transgenic plants which have altered flowering characteristics from non-transgenic plants of the same species due to the presence of a transgene which affects the level or timing of FLC protein activity in the
20 transgenic plants.

It is an object of the present invention to provide a tool to creators of new plant varieties to be able to alter the timing of flowering in the plant species. The timing of flowering can be made earlier or later, depending on the desires of the plant breeder, by changes to the levels of the FLC genes in the plants.

25 This permits plants to be modified in a very useful way. Since flowering is an important physiological stage for a flowering plant, the ability to manipulate flowering time in a plant species makes it possible either to increase vegetative growth or flower creation by a plant, whichever is more desired in the instance.

Other objects advantages and features of the present invention will become
30 apparent from the following specification and the attached drawing figures.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING FIGURE

FIGURE 1 is a phylogenetic diagram of the relative degree of relatedness among members of the MADS box class of plant genes.

5

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

This disclosure is directed at the nucleotide and protein sequences for the genes of the *FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC)* family of plant genes. As described below, it turns out that plants commonly have more than one FLC gene in their genome. The FLC genes are, however, similar and homologous. It is disclosed here that these genes
10 can be used to make transgenic plants that have altered flowering characteristics. With the knowledge about the FLC genes described here, it becomes possible to both advance flowering time in a transgenic plant, by repressing FLC activity, or to retard flowering time in a plant, by increasing FLC activity in a plant. This thus gives plant breeders and creators a unique tool so as to sculpt the flowering time characteristics of a crop plant to
15 more closely follow the desires of the breeder.

Set forth below is the nucleotide and amino acid sequences for several FLC genes. The work that gave rise to this document began with the isolation and sequencing of a gene from *Arabidopsis thaliana*, here named FLC1. Using that information and other genetic information, some of which is discussed below, several
20 other FLC genes were discovered. It turns out that Arabidopsis, which is extensively studied in plant genetic laboratories since it has one of the smallest genomes of all plants, has at least three FLC genes, here designated FLC1, FLC2 and FLC3. Using the information from the three FLC genes found in Arabidopsis, two FLC genes from Brassica have been identified so far. These genes have several characteristics which
25 will be shared by all other plant FLC genes.

The FLC genes are part of a category of genes referred to as MADS box genes. The MADS box is a highly conserve motif shared by a group of evolutionarily related transcription factors. The name MADS is an acronym for the original genes first identified as sharing the common MADS box domain. There are a large number of
30 MADS box genes which have been identified so far, and there is ongoing work to further organize these genes into sub-groups. In plants, the MADS box genes are known

to affect many aspects of plant development, including structural development. Two of the FLC genes identified here, (*Arabidopsis* FLC2 and FLC3) have been previously sequenced as a part of the *Arabidopsis* genome sequencing effort, although their function was not previously known.

5 Fig. 1, which uses data originally accumulated by Martin Yanofsky and Elena Alvarez-Buylla, UC San Diego , is a phylogenetic tree illustration of the relative degree of relationship among MADS-box proteins so far identified from *Arabidopsis* and maize. Note that the three identified FLC genes are all more closely related to each other than they are related to any other MADS box genes.

10 Set forth below in the sequence listing is the cDNA sequence, and the deduced amino acid sequence, for each of FLC1, FLC2 and FLC3 from *Arabidopsis thaliana*, as well as BrFLC1A and BrFLC1B from *Brassica rapa*. Also presented below is some sequence comparison data. This data indicates that the FLC1 and FLC2 genes from *Arabidopsis* are 60% identical over their entire length, and still over 50% identical over
15 their entire region outside of the MADS box region. The latter comparison may be more important since all of the MADS box genes have a relatively high degree of conservation in the MADS box region itself. For the purposes of this analysis, the MADS box region is considered to be the first 60 amino acids at the amino terminus of the protein sequences. This degree of sequence similarity appears to hold across
20 species. The *Brassica* genes BrFLC1A and BrFLC1B are actually more identical to FLC1 than FLC1 is to FLC2. The identity of FLC2 to the *Brassica* genes is slightly less than 50% outside of the MADS box, so among the variants in the FLC gene family, identity at the amino acid levels is believed to generally be above 40%. Thus, amino acid identity of over 40% outside the MADS box regions is one indication of a member
25 of the FLC gene family.

Note again the phylogenetic chart of Fig. 1. It is significant that the three identified FLC genes from *Arabidopsis* are all more closely related to each other than to any other *Arabidopsis* MADS box genes. Since it is believed that the full spectrum of MADS box genes used by the plant is known, (at least in *Arabidopsis* from the nearly
30 complete genome sequencing effort), one can chart out, using commonly available sequence analysis and matching software, the relatedness of any newly sequenced gene

to the members of the MADS box family of genes as shown on Fig. 1. A member of the FLC gene family is a gene which maps by phylogenetic analysis to be closer to *Arabidopsis* FLC1, FLC2 or FLC3, by phylogenetic sequence analysis, than to any other MADS box gene from *Arabidopsis*.

- 5 A way of confirming that a gene is a member of the FLC gene family is by testing the gene for effect on the timing of plant flowering. Described in the examples below are tests to demonstrate that the FLC genes do, in fact, act to delay flowering in transgenic plants. By testing a possible FLC gene for similar effect in transgenic plants, using *Arabidopsis* as a model, the activity of a putative FLC gene from another plant
- 10 species can be confirmed.

- It should be emphasized that what this tool enables is the alteration of the flowering time of a plant. The normal function of FLC is to delay or inhibit flowering. However, the availability of the genetic sequences of the FLC genes enable the time of flowering in a plant to be altered in either direction. Several techniques are now known
- 15 to be practical to either down-regulate or up-regulate the expression of an endogenous plant gene. To down-regulate gene expression, an antisense version of the coding sequence of the gene can be inserted into the plant, or the level of gene expression can be lowered by co-suppression, a poorly understood phenomenon by which insertion of an artificial gene construct into a plant occasionally causes suppression of both the
- 20 inserted gene and any other genes homologous to it. To up-regulate a plant gene, extra copies of the gene can be introduced into the plant, preferably by germ line transformation of the plant genome, and by properly choosing the strength and characteristics of the plant promoter chosen, the level of activity of the protein produced by the native gene can be increased in the cells and tissues of the plant.

- 25 It should be understood that techniques of plant genetic engineering have been developed to the point where it is now practical to place any genetic construct into almost any useful plant species. The process does, however, still involve some random processes, most notably that insertions of foreign DNA into the genome of plants still occurs at random sites in the plant genome. As a result, in any group of plants emerging
- 30 from a plant transformation process, the results achieved for the different gene insertion events will vary, sometimes dramatically. For example, for a simple gene insertion of

another copy of an endogenous plant gene, many plants produced will have a slightly higher level of activity of the endogenous protein, others will have no measurable change or even a decrease in measurable activity, while a few will have substantial increases in activity levels. However, this variation does not mean stable results cannot

- 5 be achieved, since the results tend to be consistent generation-to-generation for each specific genetic insertion. Thus the high activity plants have, in effect, a high activity allele that can be transferred by normal mendelian inheritance to their progeny.

To make a transgenic plant, as is known to those of skill in the art, one needs to make a genetic construction capable of expressing an inserted protein coding sequence,

- 10 whether foreign or endogenous, in a plant. One also needs a method to insert the genetic construction into the plant.

The tools and techniques for making genetic constructions that will express proteins in plants are now widely known. Any genetic construction intended to cause the synthesis in the cells of the plant of a polypeptide or protein must include a sequence

- 15 of DNA known as a protein coding sequence, which specifies the sequence of the polypeptide or protein to be produced in the resultant plant. For a protein coding sequence to be expressed in a plant to produce a polypeptide or protein, it must be placed under the control of a plant expressible promoter and be followed by a plant transcriptional terminator sequence, also known as a polyadenylation sequence. The
20 plant expressible promoter is a promoter which will work in plants, usually either of plant origin or from a plant pathogen like a virus (e.g. Cauliflower mosaic virus) or a bacteria (e.g. Agrobacterium promoters like the nopaline synthase promoter). Plant promoters from pathogens tend to be constitutive promoters, meaning that they actually express the protein coding sequence in all of the tissues of the plant at all times. Other
25 plant promoters are known to be tissue specific (e.g. to fruit or to flower) or developmentally specific (e.g. to stage of plant life such as emergent specific or senescent specific), while others are intended to be inducible (e.g. heat shock or metal ion induced promoters). Any of these types of promoters may be used in the practice of this invention depending on the intended affect on the transgenic plant to be produced.

- 30 Not all genetic constructions are intended to produce a polypeptide or protein in the cells of the transgenic plant. If the object of the manipulation is to lower the activity

- level of the target protein in the plant, the genetic construction can be one intended to lower endogenous levels of protein activity without producing a protein in the plant. One well-known method to accomplish this is through the use of antisense technology, in which a genetic construct is created which causes the synthesis in the cells of the
- 5 plant of an mRNA strand complementary to some portion of the mRNA created during the expression of the target gene. The antisense RNA interferes with the translation of the target mRNA and less protein is produced in the affected plant cells.
- Several methods have been demonstrated to insert genes into plants to make them transgenic. The most widely used methods, broadly defined, are Agrobacterium-
- 10 mediated transformation or accelerated particle mediated transformation. The various techniques of Agrobacterium-mediated plant transformation make use of the natural ability of the plant pathogens of the Agrobacterium genus to transfer DNA from a plasmid in the bacteria into the genome of a plant cell. Particle-mediated plant transformation techniques utilize DNA-coated small carrier particles accelerated from a
- 15 device, often referred to as a gene gun, into the cells of a plant. The full implementation of either approach requires techniques to recover a fully mature, morphologically normal plant from the transformed cells. The techniques often therefore involve either selection or screening protocols to identify which plant cells were transformed and regeneration protocols to recover whole plants from the single transformed plants cells.
- 20 As mentioned above, these techniques have been worked out for many plant species and many, and perhaps all, of the economically important plant species. Other techniques, such as electroporation have also been used to make transgenic plants. But fundamentally for the invention disclosed here, the particular technique of plant transformation does not matter. Once the plant has been genetically engineered, and a
- 25 transgenic plant has been created, the method of transformation of the original plant becomes irrelevant. A transgene inserted into the genome of one plant is then fully inheritable by progeny plants of the original genetically engineered plant by normal rules of classical plant breeding. The term transgene is here used to apply to an inserted genetic construction carried in the cells of a target plant. Thus, the term transgenic
- 30 plant, as used here, refers to a plant that carries such a transgene.

Disclosed here is information about a set of plant genes, the FLC genes. While

these genes have existed previously in their native, or altered, state in plants, this disclosure is believed to be the first disclosure of these genes in isolated form. By isolated form, it is meant that the genes have been isolated from their host plants. Now the information from those genes becomes available for use in *in vitro* manipulations of 5 the genes and their components to create genetic constructions for several uses. One contemplated use is the creation of transgenic plants. Another contemplated use is the diagnosis and analysis of plants, both transgenic and non-transgenic, to analyze and determine their pattern of FLC gene activity as an aid to breeding or creating plants having desired flowering time characteristics.

10 For example, as set forth in further detail below, plants that lack FLC activity, as a result of mutations in FLC, flower much earlier than those containing an active FLC allele. Plants with wild type FLC activity had about 6 times the number of leaves as the plants with decreased FLC activity. Furthermore, plants genetically engineered to express FLC at higher than normal levels exhibit substantially delayed flowering. While 15 the examples set forth below are executed in Arabidopsis, due to the simplicity in the genetic manipulation of that plant, the same techniques will work in other plants species. In fact, the high degree of sequence identity among the FLC genes indicates that the members of the FLC gene family from one plant species will function, as a general rule, even in other plants species.

20 The flower time regulation FLC gene is a repressor of flower initiation in plants. It is believed that the flower initiation polynucleotide fragment of FLC plays a central role in flower initiation control because other genes that regulate flowering act by modulating the activity of this gene. This gene is, however, not the sole factor in determining flowering time in a plant. For example, it has been shown that the locus 25 denominated FRIGIDA (FRI) is a co-repressor of flowering that acts synergistically with FLC (Lee et al., Plant Journal, 6, 903-909, 1994.). Conversely the gene LUMINIDEPENDENS, which acts to promote flowering, does so by decreasing FLC levels. In mutants that lack LUMINIDEPENDENS activity, which are delayed in flowering, FLC levels are substantially increased. Thus, modulating a flower initiation 30 regulator is a reliable means to control the time of flower initiation.

It has further been discovered that FRI acts to increase FLC levels. In fact, the

- two genes FLC and FRI are two dominant alleles which interact to cause late flowering. Using conventional nomenclature, lower case (e.g. fri, flc) refers a recessive, or inactive allele, and upper case (e.g. FRI, FLC) refers to the active dominant allele. Both dominant alleles are normally required to achieve late flowering. Thus plants which will
- 5 be early flowering include the genetic combinations: fri/fri and flc1/flc1; fri/fri and FLC1/flc1; fri/fri and FLC1/FLC1; FRI/fri and flc1/flc1; and FRI/FRI and flc1/flc1. Genetic combinations which will be late flowering will be: FRI/fri/ and FLC1/flc1: FRI/FRI and FLC1/flc1; FRI/fri and FLC1FLC1; and FIR/FRI and FLC1/FLC1. Thus in testing non-transgenic lines for mutant forms of FLC1, it is convenient to cross the
- 10 plant containing an FLC1 allele of unknown activity to a tester line containing, and preferable homozygous for, the FRI allele so as to reveal (or not) the presence of an active FLC1 allele.
- The lifetime of a plant can be divided into at least two phases, the vegetative phase and the reproductive phase. In most commercially important crop plants, during
- 15 the vegetative phase the plant continues growth, which includes increasing in size and in the number of leaves present on the plant. The reproductive phase begins with flower initiation. At that point much of the plant's further growth is the growth (or development) of flowers, fruits, and seeds. Commercially important crop plants have been bred for desirable characteristics, including uniformity in the time the plants are
- 20 ready for harvesting. This has resulted in a high degree of uniformity in the number of leaves present on each plant in a population of plants grown under the same conditions. Due to the uniformity in the number of leaves present, alterations in the time of flower initiation can often be measured as a function of the number of leaves on a plant. For instance, if flower initiation is activated early in a plant, that plant will have fewer
- 25 leaves relative to the same plant grown under the same conditions that do not activate flower initiation early. Moreover, a plant that activates flower initiation early can also be said to have a shortened vegetative phase relative to the same plant grown under the same conditions that do not activate flower initiation early. Likewise, if flower initiation is repressed such that the plant undergoes flower initiation later, that plant will
- 30 have more leaves relative to the same plant grown under the same conditions that do not repress flower initiation until later. Moreover, a plant that represses flower initiation

may also be said to have a prolonged vegetative phase relative to the same plant grown under the same conditions that do not repress flower initiation. Alterations in the time of flower initiation can also be measured as a function of time.

- Plants in which a copy of an FLC gene is introduced may also contain a wild-type (i.e., endogenous) flower time regulation coding region which acts to repress flower initiation. Upon introduction into the genome of a plant, the FLC gene can act to augment the activity of an endogenous flower time regulation coding region to make flower initiation occur later. For instance, a second copy of a flower time regulation coding region can be introduced into a plant to increase the amount of flower time regulation FLC protein present in the plant. Expression of a portion of an FLC protein encoded by a portion of the flower time regulation coding region can also lead to activation of flowering in a plant. A portion of a polypeptide which leads to activation of flowering in a plant can be referred to as a dominant negative mutant, and is further described herein.
- The present invention also provides a genetically modified plant, characterized as having the phenotypic trait of altered time of flower initiation. By this it is meant that the modified plants of the present invention, whether modified by incorporating an FLC gene expressing a new or additional FLC protein in the plant, or by inhibiting activity of an endogenous FLC gene in the plant, demonstrate a different length of time to the onset of flower initiation relative to the same plant without the transgene inserted. Preferably, flowering initiation (on average) in the transgenic plant occurs at least about 3 days, more preferably at least about 7 days, most preferably at least about 12 days after initiation of flowering in the same plant without the transgene. Alternatively, flowering initiation (on average) in the transgenic plant occurs at least about 3 days, more preferably at least about 7 days, most preferably at least about 12 days before initiation of flowering in the same plant without the transgene. Preferably, the genetically modified plant and the same plant without the transgene are grown under the same conditions. The actual data from *Arabidopsis* plants, as set forth below, demonstrates that even much more dramatic changes in plant flowering time are possible, from three weeks to three months or the reverse.

The different length of time to the onset of the flowering stage of the plant

- relative to the same plant without the transgene can also be measured by determining the difference in the number of leaves on the genetically modified plant at the time of flower initiation and the number of leaves on the same plant without the transgene at the time of flower initiation. Preferably, the transgenic plant exhibits at least about 10%
5 more, more preferably at least about 50% more, most preferably at least about 80% more leaves at flower initiation than the same plant without the transgene.
- Alternatively, the transgenic plant exhibits at least about 10% fewer, more preferably at least about 50% fewer, most preferably at least about 80% fewer leaves at flower initiation than the same plant without the transgene. Preferably, the genetically
10 modified plant and the same plant without the transgene are grown under the same conditions.

In one embodiment of the present invention, a nucleic acid molecule is provided that includes a polynucleotide having a nucleotide sequence that represents the coding region of the gene, FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC), from *Arabidopsis thaliana*, or a
15 portion thereof, as well as allelic variants in sequence of the FLC gene and homologs of the coding region of the FLC gene derived from other species. Homology is a relatedness that can be determined by, but not limited to, nucleic acid hybridization techniques, computer searches of databases, computer or manual comparisons of amino acid and nucleotide sequences, and protein detection with the use of FLC-specific
20 antibodies. Two nucleotide sequences are "similar" if they can be aligned so that a percentage of corresponding residues are identical. Preferably, two nucleotide sequences have greater than about 31%, more preferably at least about 50%, even more preferably at least about 70%, and most preferably at least about 80% identity.

Accordingly, the invention includes genes and proteins which are members of
25 the FLC family of plant genes and share a significant level of primary structure with the sequence of FLC1 presented below. The two amino acid sequences (i.e., the amino acid sequence of the homolog and the sequence of FLC1) are aligned such that the residues that make up the MADS domain, i.e., amino acids 1-60, are aligned in that region and then the entire length of the two amino acid sequences are further aligned to maximize
30 the number of amino acids that they have in common along the lengths of their sequences. Gaps in either or both sequences are permitted in making the alignment in

order to place the residues of the MADS domain in register and to maximize the number of shared amino acids, although the amino acids in each sequence must nonetheless remain in their proper order. The percentage amino acid identity is the higher of the following two numbers: (a) the number of amino acids that the two sequences have in common within the alignment, divided by the number of amino acids in FLC1, multiplied by 100; or (b) the number of amino acids that the two sequences have in common within the alignment, divided by the number of amino acids in the candidate polypeptide, multiplied by 100. Preferably, a flower time regulation polypeptide has greater than 40% identity, more preferably at least about 50% identity, most preferably 5 at least about 70% identity, over the entire length of the FLC1 protein.

10

Sequence homology or identity is less important at the nucleotide level. As is well understood by those in the art, the degeneracy of the genetic code permits many DNA protein coding sequences to code for the same amino acid sequence. It is even possible, and common, to alter the coding sequences of native protein coding sequences 15 as a part of making plant expression cassettes, without altering the amino acids sequence of the resultant proteins, for various cloning convenience reasons or for reasons of codon usage or preference in the target plant.

Isolated nucleic acid molecules of the invention can be obtained by several methods. For example, they can be isolated using procedures which are well known in 20 the art. These include, but are not limited to: 1) hybridization of detectably labeled probes representing all or part of any one of the FLC genes to genomic or cDNA libraries to detect similar nucleic acid sequences; 2) antibody screening of expression libraries to detect similar structural features; 3) synthesis by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR); and 4) chemical synthesis of a nucleic acid molecule. Sequences for 25 specific coding regions of genes can also be found in GenBank, the National Institutes of Health computer database. The coding region can then be isolated and ligated into a vector as described below.

For the identification of isolated nucleic acid molecules using detectably labeled probes, or for the identification of polynucleotide fragments whose complements 30 hybridize to FLC1, standard stringency hybridizing conditions are a modification of the conditions used by Church et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 81, 1991 1984: incubation

in a solution containing 0.5 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, 7% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 10 mM EDTA, at 45 °C for 12 hours, and three 20-minute washes at 45 °C in a solution containing 2x SSC (1X SSC: 150 mM NaCl/15 mM sodium citrate, pH 7.0) and 0.1% SDS. Preferably, a polynucleotide (e.g., a probe) will hybridize to the

5 nucleotide sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:1 under standard stringency hybridizing conditions. Generally the polynucleotide (e.g., probe) does not have to be complementary to all the nucleotides of the polynucleotide fragment as long as there is hybridization under the above-stated conditions. Alternatively, higher stringency conditions can be used, for example by increasing the temperature of the hybridization

10 and wash steps to 65 °C , 60 °C , 55 °C , or 50 °C . Moreover, the length of time required for hybridization can vary from 12 hours stated above. Typically, lower stringency hybridization conditions permit hybridization of related but not identical FLC genes, and thereby allow identification of FLC genes in other species. Preferably, hybridization and washing temperatures are, in increasing order of preference, at 68 °C

15 hybridization and 65 °C wash, 60 °C hybridization and wash, 55 °C hybridization and wash, 50 °C hybridization and wash, most preferably 45 °C hybridization and wash.

Plants included in the invention are any flowering plants amenable to transformation techniques, including both monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants. Examples of monocotyledonous plants include, but are not limited to, vegetables such as asparagus, onions and garlic; cereals such as maize, barley, wheat, rice, sorghum, pearl millet, rye and oats; and grasses such as forage grasses and turfgrasses. Examples of dicotyledonous plants include, but are not limited to, vegetables, feed, and oil crops such as tomato, beans, soybeans, peppers, lettuce, peas, alfalfa, clover, Brassica species (e.g., cabbage, broccoli, cauliflower, brussel sprouts, rapeseed, and 25 radish), carrot, beets, eggplant, spinach, cucumber, squash, melons, cantaloupe, sunflowers; fiber crops such as cotton; and various ornamentals such as flowers and shrubs.

While the examples described below demonstrate that increased expression of FLC delays or prevents flowering and loss of FLC function causes accelerated 30 flowering, there are other obvious ways to modify FLC expression such that flowering time would be modified. For example, transgenes encoding dominant-negative versions

of a flower time regulation polypeptide could be introduced into the plant genome. Dominant-negative mutants are proteins that actively interfere with the function of a normal, endogenous protein. Thus, the action of a gene can be blocked without inactivating the structural gene itself or its RNA. This strategy has been successful for 5 transcription factors in the same MADS domain family as FLC. (Gauthier-Rouviere et al., *Exp Cell Res*, 209, 208-215, 1993; Mizukami et al., *Plant Cell*, 8, 831-845, 1996). In these experiments making dominant negatives using MADS-box genes, the most effective constructs were those that lack the C-terminal domain of the polypeptide. Since FLC, as with most MADS-box genes, share the same basic organization, a similar 10 C-terminal truncation would be effective with FLC. This truncation would contain amino acids 1-150 of the full FLC1 protein, which corresponds to nucleotides 1-450 of the FLC1 gene.

The above disclosure generally describes the present invention. A more complete understanding can be obtained by reference to the following specific examples which 15 are provided herein for purposes of illustration only and are not intended to limit the scope of the invention.

EXAMPLES

EXAMPLE 1

20

LOSS OF FLC FUNCTION CAUSES EARLY FLOWERING

Naturally occurring late flowering in *Arabidopsis* is caused primarily by the interaction of two dominant genes, *FLC1* (Lee, et al. *Plant Journal* 6, 903-909 1994; Koornneef, et al. *Plant Journal* 6, 911-919 1994) and *FRIGIDA (FRI)* (Lee, et al. *Mol Gen Genet* 237, 171-176 1993; Clarke and Dean. *Mol Gen Genet* 242, 81-89 1994). We further demonstrated that the late-flowering phenotype of *FRI* is suppressed in the Landsberg *erecta (Ler)* strain of *Arabidopsis* by a recessive allele of the *FLC1* gene 25 (Lee, et al. *Plant Journal* 6, 903-909 1994; Koornneef, et al. *Plant Journal* 6, 911-919 1994). Similarly, late flowering caused by mutations in the gene *LUMINIDEPENDENS (LD)* are also suppressed in the *Ler* background by the *Ler* allele of *FLC1* (Lee, et al. *Plant Journal* 6, 903-909 1994; Koornneef, et al. *Plant Journal* 6, 911-919 1994). To 30

- determine if the ability of the *Ler* allele of *FLC1* to suppress the late-flowering phenotype of both *FRI* and mutations in *ld* might be explained by a loss of function mutation in *FLC1*, mutagenized populations of *Arabidopsis* were created to screen for plants containing *flc1* mutations. In one mutagenesis, 5,000 late-flowering *ld* mutant
- 5 plants (*ld-3*) were mutagenized with ethylmethanesulfonate. From 50,000 M2 plants screened from this population one early-flowering plant was isolated that in complementation tests proved to be allelic to the recessive allele of *FLC1* found in the *Ler* background. A second screen was carried out using a late-flowering line homozygous for the late-flowering *FRI* allele in the Columbia (Col) background.
- 10 90,000 seeds were treated with 5-6krad of fast-neutron radiation. 300,000 M2 plants were screened for early flowering and 4 new *flc1* alleles were isolated.

The lesions in 4 of induced *flc1* alleles were determined. Data on the early-flowering phenotype of three fast-neutron *flc1* alleles is presented in Tables 1 and 2. All 4 of the *flc1* alleles contained mutations predicted to cause a total loss of function. In 15 summary, loss of *FLC1* gene function results in a total suppression of the late-flowering effect of *FRI* in both long days and short days. In an early-flowering non-*FRI*-containing background the loss of *FLC1* gene function only effects flowering time under short day conditions. Thus the wild-type or active *FLC1* clearly acts as an inhibitor of flowering.

20

Table 1

Effect of a loss-of-function *flc1* mutations in an late-flowering, *FRI*-containing background

<u>Lines</u>	<u>Rosette leaf number at flowering</u>
25 Long days- <i>FRI</i> -containing wild type	74 (12)*
Long days- <i>flc1-2</i>	11.8 (.75)
Long days- <i>flc1-3</i>	12.0 (0.6)
Long days- <i>flc1-4</i>	11.8 (0.4)
Short days- <i>FRI</i> -containing wild type	>100
30 Short days- <i>flc1-3</i>	44 (2.7)

*Numbers in parentheses represent one standard deviation. The number of leaves formed before flowering is measure of flowering time. Thus, in a *FRI* containing background *flc1* mutant plants consistently flower in 1/6th the time of *FLC1* containing, wild-type plants.

Table 2

Effect of a loss-of-function *flc1* mutation in an early-flowering, non-*FRI*-containing background

5 Lines	Rosette leaf number at flowering
Long days-Col wild type	13.7 (0.8)*
Long days- <i>flc1</i> -3	13.2 (0.7)
Short days-Col wild type	55.4 (4.7)
Short days- <i>flc1</i> -3	42.4 (3.5)

10 *Numbers in parentheses represent one standard deviation. The number of leaves formed before flowering is measure of flowering time.

The lines described here were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center, Columbus, OH. Unless otherwise noted, the growth conditions were
15 those generally known and used by a person of skill in the art. Due to the effect of vernalization on flower initiation, growth conditions did not include prolonged exposure of plants to cold temperatures (e.g., 0°C to 8°C). The techniques used to genetically analyze *Arabidopsis thaliana* are known to a person of skill in the art (see, e.g., Koornneef et al., Genetic Analysis, In: "Arabidopsis Protocols," Methods in Molecular Biology Series, vol. 8, Martinez-Zapater et al., (eds.), Humana Press, Totowa, New Jersey, pp. 105-227, 1998).

EXAMPLE 2

25 ISOLATION OF *FLC* BY POSITIONAL CLONING

To generate a segregating population useful for high resolution mapping and positional cloning of *FLC1*, the F₁ plants generated from a cross of *Ler* (*fri/fri; flc1/flc1*) to *Col* (*fri/fri; FLC1/FLC1*) were crossed to the tester line containing the *FRI* in *Ler* (*FRI/FRI; flc1/flc1*). This tester line contained a late-flowering allele of *FRI*, but also
30 contains the *flc1*- *Ler* allele and is, therefore, early flowering. The progeny of the cross of the F₁ to *FRI* in *Ler*, which segregated 1:1 for late and early flowering, were late flowering when *FLC1*-*Col* is present (i.e. *FRI/fri; FLC1/flc1*) due to the interaction between *FRI* and *FLC1*, but early flowering in the presence of *flc1*-*Ler* (i.e.

FRI/fri;flc1/flc1). Test-cross progeny (4500 plants) were screened with the microsatellite markers nga158 and nga151 (Bell and Ecker, 1994), which had previously been shown to flank *FLC1* (Lee et al., 1994b). Plants containing recombination events between nga158 and 151 were then tested with a third microsatellite marker nga249,

5 which revealed that *FLC1* resided in the interval between nga249 and nga151.

The region between nga249 and nga151 was contained within four yeast artificial chromosome (YAC) clones. To generate additional markers, we determined the DNA sequence of YAC end clones, and designed primers to amplify the corresponding sequences from *Ler* and *Col*. These DNAs from *Ler* and *Col* were

10 sequenced to identify single-nucleotide changes, which were then used to create derived cleaved amplified polymorphic sequence (dCAPS) markers (Michaels and Amasino, 1998; Neff et al., 1998). Markers derived from the left and right ends of YAC CIC1B8 detected recombination events on either side of *FLC1*, demonstrating that *FLC1* resided in the 620kb interval spanned by CIC1B8.

15 A group of 13 BAC, TAC, and P1 clones have been identified by the Kazusa *Arabidopsis* genome project that span CIC1B8. These clones have insert lengths of approximately 70-100 kb and were used as probes on DNA blots with EcoRV-digested DNA from plants containing fast-neutron induced *flc1* mutations. Two overlapping clones, designated K6M1 and MYB9, detected several deleted bands in *flc1-2*. Random
20 10 to 20 kb fragments of K6M1 and MYB9 resulting from partial digestion with Sau3A1 were used to create a library in the binary vector pPZP211 (Hajdukiewicz et al., 1994), and individual clones from this library were used to transform the *FRI* in *Ler* line. The library was constructed with DNA from the *Col* background, which contains a late-flowering allele of *FLC1*. Thus, *FRI* in *Ler* plants transformed with a construct
25 containing the *Col* allele of *FLC1* will be late flowering. One of the clones from this library, 211-31, produced T₁ plants that were very late-flowering. Over one third of the plants underwent senescence without flowering after 8 months of growth.

Sequencing revealed three putative genes in 211-31. To determine which gene represented *FLC1*, the three candidate genes were examined from two additional fast-neutron *flc1* alleles, *flc1-3* and *flc1-4*, and one EMS-generated allele, *flc1-1*. Both of the fast-neutron alleles showed polymorphisms in bands resulting from the MADS box

transcription factor. Determination of the DNA sequence of *flc1-1*, *flc1-3*, and *flc1-4* revealed that all contained lesions in the first exon of the MADS box transcription factor. *flc1-3* contains a 104 bp deletion that removes the start codon and *flc1-4* contains a 7 bp deletion that results in a frame shift after the first 20 amino acids. *flc1-1* contains 5 a single-base transition at the first exon/intron junction that changes the conserved GT donor site to AT and presumably disrupts splicing. *FLC1* cDNA was isolated by RT-PCR from the Col background and the sequence is presented below in the sequence listing.

10 EXAMPLE 3

GENERATION OF LATE-FLOWERING TRANSGENIC ARABIDOPSIS

To determine the utility of *FLC1* in altering flowering time, transgenic *Arabidopsis* were created containing two different *FLC1* constructs. The first construct, 15 211-31, was made from genomic DNA containing *FLC1* and its native promoter. The second construct, pSM7, contained the genomic coding region of *FLC1* under control of the constitutive 35S promoter from cauliflower mosaic virus (Odell, et al. *Nature* 313, 810-2 1985.).

211-31 was transformed into *FRI* in *Ler* by Agrobacterium mediated transformation (Bechtold, et al., C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 316:1194, 1993). Untransformed *FRI* in *Ler* flowers after forming approximately 14 primary rosette leaves(Lee, et al. *Plant Journal* 6, 903-909 1994.). *FRI* in *Ler* plants transformed with 211-31 showed a dramatic delay in flowering due to the synergistic interaction of *FRI* and *FLC1* to delay flowering (Table 3). Greater than 90% of transformants formed 50 or more leaves before flowering and 38% never flowered, even when grown under far-red-enriched light - conditions that strongly promote flowering in *Arabidopsis*. Thus, *FLC1* over-expression can prevent flowering altogether for the life of the plant. Due to the increased duration of the vegetative phase of development in plants transformed with 211-31, biomass was increased by 10 fold. This demonstrates that over-expression of an 25 FLC gene is capable of turning an early flowering plant into a late flowering plant.

30 pSM7 was transformed into wild-type *Ler* by Agrobacterium mediated

transformation (Bechtold, et al., C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 316:1194, 1993) to determine the effect of constitutive expression of *FLC1* in a normally early-flowering line. The results are summarized in Table 5. Of the transgenic plants obtained 30% were not significantly later than the *Ler* parent, 35% showed a moderate delay in flowering time, 5 and 35% were quite late flowering. Part of the variation in flowering time of the transgenic plants may be due to differences in expression levels stemming from their insertion at different locations in the genome. This demonstrates that even in the absence of *FRI* activity, *FLC1* expression is sufficient to substantially delay flowering. Furthermore, the delay in flowering caused by constitutive *FLC1* expression is 10 insensitive to vernalization (vernalization is effective in promoting flowering in naturally-occurring late-flowering lines containing *FRI* and *FLC1*). Thus by replacing the native FLC promoter with a constitutive promoter, it becomes possible to create late flowering plants in which the characteristic of late flowering is insensitive to environmental cues. Again the delay in flowering was associated with a substantial 15 increase in biomass.

Table 3

Flowering time of *FRI* containing plants transformed with *FLC1*.*

20	Rosette leaf number at flowering	Percentage of transformed plants
	12-20 leaves	8%
	20-40 leaves	0%
	40-80 leaves	54%
	>80 leaves	38%

25 *Untransformed *FRI* in *Ler*, which lacks *FLC1* function, flowers after forming 12-14 rosette leaves, while 92% of transformed plants into which one or more copies of *FLC1* have been introduced form at least 3 times as many leaves before flowering. The number of leaves formed before flowering is measure of flowering time. Thus, the introduction of the *FLC1* gene into this line consistently causes the modified plants to require at least 30 6 fold longer time to flower. In several lines, flowering never occurred.

Table 4

Increase in biomass of *FRI* containing plants transformed with *FLC1*.*

Lines	Fresh weight
<i>FRI</i> in <i>Ler</i>	1.5g (.14)
5 <i>FRI</i> in <i>Ler</i> transformed with 211-31	15.5g (2.1)

*Upon transformation with *FLC1* the fresh weight of plants was increased by 10 fold. Numbers in parentheses represent one standard deviation.

Table 5

10 Flowering time of *Ler* plants transformed with a constitutively expressed *FLC1*.*

Rosette leaf number at flowering	Percentage of transformed plants
7-10 leaves	30%
10-20 leaves	35%
>20 leaves	35%

15 *Wild-type *Ler* flowers after forming 7-8 leaves. 70% of primary transformants show delayed flowering, with 35% forming more than twice the number of leaves before flowering. The number of leaves formed before flowering is measure of flowering time. Thus, the time to flowering in lines constitutively expressing *FLC1* is delayed by up to 3 fold or more.

20 EXAMPLE 4

EXAMINATION OF *FLC1* HOMOLOGS FROM BRASSICA

FLC activity regulates flowering time in species other than *Arabidopsis*. To 25 investigate this possibility, *FLC1* homologs were isolated from *Brassica rapa* mRNA by RT-PCR using primers designed to the *Arabidopsis FLC1* sequence. The nucleotide sequences of the *B. rapa* homologs (*BrFLC1A* and *BrFLC1B*) are presented in the sequence listing below. The amino acid identity between *FLC1* and *BrFLC1A* and *BrFLC1B* is shown in Table 6. Overexpression constructs were created by placing 30 *BrFLC1A* and *BrFLC1B* under control of the constitutive 35S promoter. These constructs were transformed into an early-flowering strain of *Arabidopsis* and the flowering time of the T1 plants was determined. Many of the *Arabidopsis* plants containing the *Brassica* overexpression constructs were delayed in flowering, forming over three times as many leaves before flowering than the untransformed controls.

Thus, like overexpression of *FLC1* from Arabidopsis, the *FLC1* homologs isolated from *B. rapa* are able to delay flowering in Arabidopsis when constitutively expressed.

Table 6

5 Amino acid identity between *FLC1* and *Brassica rapa FLC1* homologs.

	Identity within	Identity outside MADS	Total identity
	MADS domain*	domain	
<i>BrFLC1A</i>	88%	81%	83%
<i>BrFLC1B</i>	93%	81%	85%

*For this comparison, amino acids 1-60 are considered to be the MADS domain. Because of the high

10 degree of conservation within the MADS domain between family members, similarity inside and outside of the MADS domain is presented.

EXAMPLE 5

15 IDENTIFICATION OF *FLC1*-LIKE GENES IN ARABIDOPSIS

Database searches using the *FLC1* sequence identified two other MADS domain genes, *FLC2* and *FLC3*, with significant homology to *FLC1*. These genes were previously identified by the Arabidopsis genome sequencing effort, although their

20 function was unknown, and they are here designated *FLC2* and *FLC3*. cDNA clones were obtained for *FLC2* and *FLC3* by RT-PCR. The nucleotide sequences of *FLC2* and *FLC3* are presented in the sequence listing below. The amino acid identity between *FLC1* and *FLC2* and *FLC3* is shown in Table 7.

25

Table 7

Amino acid identity between *FLC1* and *FLC2* and *FLC3*.

	Identity within MADS	Identity outside	Total identity
	domain*	MADS domain	
<i>FLC2</i>	83%	50%	60%
<i>FLC3</i>	83%	50%	60%

30 *For this comparison, amino acids 1-60 are considered to be the MADS domain. Because of the high degree of conservation within the MADS domain between family members, similarity inside and outside of the MADS domain is presented.

EXAMPLE 6

FLC2 ACTS TO SUPPRESS FLOWERING IN ARABIDOPSIS

5 Loss-of-function alleles were identified in *FLC2* by PCR based screening of a T-DNA insertional mutagenized population using established techniques (Krysan, Young, Sussman, T-DNA as an insertion mutagen in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell*, v.11, p.2283-2290, 1999). Two alleles were found, *flc2-1* and *flc2-2*. In the *flc2-1* allele the T-DNA is inserted at position 4138 of the genomic sequence, and the allele *flc2-2* the T-DNA is inserted at the
10 position 442. The effect of these loss-of-function mutations on flowering time was investigated under long-day and short-day conditions. The results are shown in Table 8. Like *FLC1*, *FLC2* has a role in delaying flowering in Arabidopsis. Under long and short days the *flc2* mutants flower earlier than the corresponding wild type; thus the wild-type role of *FLC2* is to delay flowering.

15

Table 8

Effect of *flc2* loss-of-function mutations on flowering time.

Lines	Range of rosette leaf number at flowering
Long days-Wild type	8-9
20 Long days- <i>flc2-1</i>	6-7
Long days- <i>flc2-2</i>	6-7
Short days-Wild type	25-30
Short days- <i>flc2-1</i>	7-9
Short days- <i>flc2-2</i>	9-11

25

EXAMPLE 7

OVEREXPRESSION OF *FLC2* DELAYS FLOWERING IN ARABIDOPSIS

30 To assess the effect of *FLC2* overexpression on flowering time, the genomic coding region of *FLC2* was placed under control of the constitutive 35S promoter and transformed into *Arabidopsis thaliana* by standard techniques (Bechtold *et al*, *C.R. Acad.Sci.Paris*, 316, 1194, 1993). The results are shown in Table 9. Like *FLC1*, overexpression of *FLC2* is

sufficient to delay flowering. Many transformed plants formed two to three times the number of leaves before flowering.

Table 9

5 Effect of *FLC2* overexpression on flowering time*.

Rosette leaf number at flowering	Percentage of transformed plants
12-16 leaves	55%
17-33 leaves	45%

*Untransformed wild type flowers with 8-9 leaves.

10

This experimental data, taken as a whole, established that the FLC family of genes act to delay the time of flowering in plants. The data demonstrated that there is more than one native FLC gene in many, if not most, plants. While the FLC genes interact with other flowering timing genes like the FRI genes, the FLC genes alone can 15 be used to alter flowering time in plants. The FLC genes encode proteins that have a high degree of sequence identity both within and across plant species, and FLC genes from one species do work in other species. Thus a useful tool is provided to manipulate and help control the timing of plant flowering across a wide ranges of plant species.

The complete disclosures of all patents, patent applications, publications, and 20 nucleic acid and protein database entries, including for example GenBank accession numbers and EMBL accession numbers, that are cited herein are hereby incorporated by reference as if individually incorporated. It is also understood that, given the limitations of the state of the art, occasional sequence errors or deletions may occur without affecting the usefulness of the data presented. Various modifications and alterations of 25 this invention will become apparent to those skilled in the art without departing from the scope and spirit of this invention, and it should be understood that this invention is not to be unduly limited to the illustrative embodiments set forth herein.